

Consultation Response – Kevan Hendy - Resident

Question 1: Which Main Modification does this comment relate to?

MM070

Question 2: Do you consider that this Main Modification is:

None of the above

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider this Main Modification is not legally compliant and/or unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

The modification has replaced Wappenshall Junction with Wappenshall Wharf. Neither are legally recognised settlements. This has the potential to lead to confusion. The legally recognised settlement is Wappenshall. However the Buffer zone is limited to the properties in and around the Wappenshall Wharf. However, Wappenshall Wharf is the heritage site and not a legally recognised settlement. As such more legally robust wording is required to define the extent of the Buffer zone. Additionally it is suggested that both Wappenshall and Wappenshall Wharf are labelled on the Local Plan Interactive policy map as you zoom in. See Q6.

Question 4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the main modification legally compliant and/or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness.

Landscaping strategy. Where appropriate, a suitable buffer should be included to clearly maintain a physical separation to protect existing communities. In particular a substantive buffer area will be required to protect the settlements at Eyton Upon the Weald Moors and in the vicinity of Wappenshall Wharf (specifically all properties between Wharf House and Well Cottage/Moor Cottage) from areas of built development within the Sustainable Communities site. Following the establishment of the buffer future applications for development within the buffer area will be considered and determined in accordance with the principles of policy NE7.

Question 5: Do you have any comments on the Integrated Assessment Report Addendum, in respect to this particular Main Modification? Please provide them in the box below.

No

Question 6: Do you have any comments on a Proposed Revision to the Policies Map? Please provide them in the box below.

I have no specific comments on the revisions but suggest the policy map need updating to properly define both the full Wappenshall settlement and the subset in and around Wappenshall Wharf. This tighter definition will become even more important as the SUE develop under the proposed name of Wappenshall. The specific suggestion relates to what appears as you zoom in. Firstly Wappenshall should be visible at the same zoom level as Eyton upon the Weald Moors. It is suggested the label is midway between Wappenshall Farm and Wappenshall Wharf. As you zoom in Wappenshall should disappear and a new label Wappenshall Wharf should appear covering the properties in the vicinity of Wappenshall Wharf Heritage site. Finally when zoomed to the properties level the following labels should be added - Wappenshall Wharf Heritage site - Well Cottage - Moor Cottage

Question 7: Do you have any comments on one of the Councils Additional Modifications? Please provide them in the box below.

No