

Consultation Response – Christopher Rolling - Resident

Question 1: Which Main Modification does this comment relate to?

MM069

Question 2: Do you consider that this Main Modification is:

None of the above

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider this Main Modification is not legally compliant and/or unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

The land is outside of the built up area of north-eastern Telford. To be specific, it is not within a built up area and represents 182ha of green belt, arable farm land, that has been in farming use for decades. This is a misclassification of the surrounding area, and of the context of the site. There are no parts of the 182ha that are contiguous with the built up area.

Question 4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the main modification legally compliant and/or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness.

Complete removal of the statement. It is placed to justify development and does not represent a fact.

Question 7: Do you have any comments on one of the Council's Additional Modifications? Please provide them in the box below.

Site SC2 is not 'well-connected' or 'well served' - there is no main thoroughfare, an over subscribed transport network and no current mainline bus route. The bus route does not offer sufficient access or frequency. There is an oversubscribed doctors practice and no access to secondary education. The use of Humber Lane for Construction traffic should be prohibited. The statement on 'buffers' should be included between residents should be changed to 'will be included', and for them to be established in a manner that is not dependent on site completion for their delivery (e.g. 15 years), or growth (e.g. 25 years) establishment.