

Telford and Wrekin Main Modifications to the Publication Version of the Local Plan

Public consultation 8th June to 5pm Monday 20th July

Word consultation response form

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Telford and Wrekin Main Modifications to the Publication Version of the Local Plan. Further information can be found on the Councils website at www.telfordandwrekinlocalplan.co.uk

This form may be scanned or returned via e-mail to: LocalPlan@telford.gov.uk

Alternatively, forms can be posted to; Strategic Planning Team, Telford & Wrekin Council, Southwater One, TF2 2FH

How we will use your information

The information you have provided will be used to inform Main Modifications to the Publication Version of the Telford and Wrekin Local Plan. We will only publish anonymised responses, parts of responses, or a summarised version of responses and will ensure individual survey respondents cannot be identified. This information is being processed under DPA – Schedule 2 (2a) (GDPR 2018 -Article 6 (1)e).

We will not share your personal information with any other external third parties unless required/permitted to do so by law. Your personal information will be held securely, and if shared it will be shared securely. We comply with data protection laws concerning the protection of personal information, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). For more information on how information is held by Telford & Wrekin Council please view the privacy page at <https://www.telford.gov.uk/terms>

We are asking for your personal details to allow us to contact you where we require clarification/further information. You do not have to include your personal details but not doing so might affect the council's ability to act on the information you have provided.

Personal Details

Title	Mrs & Mr
First Name	Charlotte & Andrew
Last Name	Dukes
Address	
Commenting on behalf of (if relevant)	Click or tap here to enter text.
Organisation (if relevant)	Click or tap here to enter text.
Telephone	Click or tap here to enter text.
Email	

NOTE: The questions below are numbered 1 to 7. Please attach any typed or written responses related to the questions below when submitting this form.

The Planning Inspectors appointed to examine the Local Plan have recommended several Main Modifications to make the plan sound and legally compliant. These are shown on the **Schedule of Main Modifications** that has been published for consultation and have been subject to a further **Integrated Assessment Report Addendum**. These do not form part of the Main Modifications but are published alongside them and should be read in conjunction with the Main Modifications proposed.

All comments received will be sent to the independent Planning Inspector for consideration. Any comments received after the consultation close deadline will not be accepted.

The Council has also published proposed revisions to the Policies Map. These do not form part of the Main Modifications but are published alongside them and should be read in conjunction with the proposed Main Modifications.

Furthermore, the Council has prepared a schedule of 'Additional Modifications' to the Plan. These are minor changes to the Local Plan to deal with factual updates and clarifications, or address typographical, grammatical and presentational issues that do not affect soundness or legal compliance of the document. The Council considers that these do not materially affect the Plan. These 'Additional Modifications' are not subject to consideration by the Inspector and do not form part of the formal examination.

Representations should only relate to the proposed Main Modifications. This consultation is not an opportunity to repeat or raise further representations about the published plan or to seek further changes to the plan.

Q1: Which proposed Main Modification does this comment relate to? Please state clearly the modification reference (*for example MM008*):

H0A05 & H015 Allscott Meads Sites

Q2: Do you consider that this Main Modification is:

- ☐ Legally compliant
- ☒ Sound
- ☐ None of the above

Q3: Please give details of why you consider this Main Modification is not legally compliant and/or unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

Alternatively, if you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Main Modification, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We have studied hard the plan you propose for the 165 houses you propose we oppose it on the grounds of-

The infrastructure is not good enough, there is no need to build that many houses when there is brown belt land available. Green belt land should stay green belt you have taken enough, the amount of people opposing this should be taken into consideration - the proposal should be scrapped. It is a rural area you are making it a town it can't cope roads NHS is just full go down local A&E to see! Schools everything there is already too much here!

Reasons for opposing-

It floods on bridge by Allscott Meads School and on winter times it is already bad with the amount of houses you have out there with the traffic trying to commute to and from work school etc you can't get under the bridge in floods it also floods down Rushmoor Lane which people use as a short cut which we are already objecting about more houses you propose in Bratton as the lane can not take this amount of traffic you are shaking our houses putting our children's lives at risk these big lorries are driving over our gardens and speeding down the lane of they go in anybody's fence that's situated on the lane where the gardens at behind these fences there will be a fatality.

Big artic lorries that come down the lane now can not get past when a car and an artic comes down or two artics it's ridiculous they have already damaged the roads and people's houses and gardens with the amount of heavy traffic coming down this lane! I have attached evidence that the roads can not cope now so when if you start this project we will be taking legal advice about the state of the road and our houses deteriorating from this project! Again killing the wildlife and adding more pollution.

We are already holding meetings and in talks with the radio to discuss road blocks to prevent an unwelcoming flow of traffic it will be like a dual carriage way it's just disgusting!

Health services: Shawbirch medical Practice is already over run, the full emergency A&E is moving to Shrewsbury which is a complete disaster with how quickly the Telford housing population is increasing. Just no care for people-how are all these new people going to access health care services? Take NHS dentistry - it's virtually impossible now to get a dentist, and if you do often you're travelling miles to get seen. Have you considered the health needs of all these new residents?

That area is teeming with wildlife, natural habitats a beauty spot for Telford. The natural scenery people so love to visit will be gone.



Q4: Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification legally compliant and/or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why the change(s) will make the Main Modification legally compliant and/or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We have little faith in the planning process or decisions made by officers and councillors. At Allscott meads, the school should have been built where the now Sports & Social club is, away from the road but because they wanted to save funds they built it on the road...now there are people concerned about the speed of the traffic so close to the school. It would have been much safer to put the school where the sports & social club was, residents campaigned for this but it was thrown out and people objected who didn't even live in the area. This is the problem residents who live in the area should have the say not people who live miles away in Wolverhampton. Its our neighbourhood and community not there's. Safety of the children should always come first!

What about education provision? Charlton is full Allscott meads is full not to mention the parking!

Its like a cup - its overflowing you keep putting water in and its just coming out the sides absolutely impossible for people to live.

The jobs you are talking about creating are building the houses I suspect when the houses are built if they get built the jobs will be no longer available. Where is the long term employment for these people?

So on the above grounds we oppose and we probably speak for most of Admaston, Allscott, Shawbirch Rushmoor & surrounding areas.

Find some where else to build the houses we have had enough!

Local people do not want this.



Q5: Do you have any comments on the Integrated Assessment Report Addendum, in respect to this particular Main Modification? Please provide them in the box below:

Please find footage I have emailed to local plan regarding the roads and how the result of more houses are making the roads unsafe for vehicles surrounding houses and children and people living there and people who walk and use the lane. If anything happens you are liable and soon there will be a fatality with the state of these roads and more traffic you are adding to the area.

Q6: Do you have any comments on a Proposed Revision to the Policies Map? Please provide them in the box below:

As stated in previous boxes

Q7: Do you have any comments on one of the Councils Additional Modifications? Please provide them in the box below:

The modifications there is not the infrastructure to support this hospitals, dentists are already over run the area can not cope there are already to many people for this rural area and if people want more then they need to move where there are more facilities do not build on green belt land and when there are not enough school places hospital is at breaking point that is another complaint. Its not as simple as just putting houses up we are full.



Photo 1



Photo 2



Photo 3